UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/919,247	07/31/2001	Bart Daniel	4243-02300	2800	
30652 CONLEY ROS	7590 08/06/200 E <b>.</b> P.C.	8	EXAMINER		
5601 GRANITE PARKWAY, SUITE 750			SELF, SHELLEY M		
PLANO, TX 75	0024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/06/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/919,247	DANIEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shelley Self	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become by	ICATION.  The reply be timely filed  ENTHS from the mailing date of this common that the commo	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed or     Za)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)	This action is non-final.	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,16,17,20,22 and 25-3</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,7,9,16,17 and 20-22</u> is/are 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>25-36</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration. e allowed.	ion.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 July 2008 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on April 29, 2008 has been considered but is ineffective to place the application in condition for allowance and an action on the merits follows.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 25-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims fail to positively recite critical mechanical cooperation between to elements. For example with regard to claim 25, there is no mechanical cooperation between the driver and strap guide tracks, are these elements operatively connected or are they separate? Clarification is required.

Similarly claim 31 is merely a listing of elements with no positive recitation of mechanical cooperation between the strap guide tracks, narrow head strap drivers or fasteners. Are these elements mechanically coupled/connected if so, how? Clarification is required to facilitate a clear understanding of the claimed invention. Examiner notes, Applicants attempt to draft a broad claim results in ambiguity of the claimed invention therefore inhibits a clear understanding of the claimed invention. For example with regard to claim 31, Applicants failure

to positively recite mechanical structure between the elements lends to several possible

embodiments, all of which have not been defined within the original disclosure.

Examiner notes the claimed invention as recited in claim 31 encompasses the following

embodiments:

-strap guide tracks operatively connected to strap drivers

-strap guide tracks operatively connected to strap fasteners

-Strap guide tracks, operatively connected to strap drivers and strap fasteners

-strap drivers operatively connected/coupled to strap fasteners but separate from strap

guide tracks

Applicant is required to review all of the claims for clarity and definiteness concerns.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims1-4, 6, 7, 9, 16, 17 and 20-22 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 1617 and 20-22 are deemed

persuasive. Applicant's remarks with regard to claims 25-36 have been considered but are moot

in view of the rejection noted above.

Examiner notes claims 25-36 were not presented for examination previously.

## Conclusion

Page 4

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley Self whose telephone number is 571-272-4524. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/919,247 Page 5

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Shelley Self/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3725

SS

August 4, 2008